2024 CoC Program Renewal Project Application Scoring Questions NH-502 Greater Nashua Continuum of Care

Agency:			Αį	gency Conta	ct:			
Proj	ect Name:		To	otal Score: _				
	iewer (s) Name:							
All r	enewal projects must meet HUD threshol	ld requireme	ents					
1.	Project proposes to serve an eligible po	the	project typ	e?		Yes	No	
2.	Project proposes to use eligible costs fo	r the projec	t ty	pe?			Yes	No
3. Project applicant and subrecipient(s) are eligible entities?						Yes	No	
4.	Match is greater than or equal to 30%?						Yes	No
5.	Project agrees to participate in CoC Coo	rdinated En	try S	System (CES), and demo	nstrates	Yes	No
	participation in the Homeless Managem	nent Informa	atio	n System (H	MIS) or oth	er		
	comparable system for DV providers?							
	6. Recipient and subrecipient meet CoC Program Eligibility requirements?					Yes	No	
If	any of the above answers are NO, project do	oes not meet	thre	eshold and is	not eligible f	or funding co	onside	ration.
PRC	DJECT TYPE							
7.	Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)		5 p	ooints				
	Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)		5 points					
Joint Transitional Housing/ Rapid Re-Housing		ousing	5 points					
	(TH/ RRH)							
POF	PULATION SERVED ¹							
	B. % of new households experiencing chronic			85 - 100%	76 - 84%	70 - 75%	0 -	69%
0.	homelessness?			5 points	3 points	1 point	_	oints
PR	OJECTS DEDICATED TO DV SURVIVORS			- p	ротто	_ p =		
	% of new households experiencing litera	1						
	homelessness							
						•		
PRC	DIECT UTILIZATION							
9.	Did the project serve the number of	90% - 100%	6	85 - 89%	80 - 84%	65 - 79%	% C) - 64%
	households it proposed to service in	7 points		5 points	3 points	1 point	C	points
	the most recently completed operating							
	year?							
DP.	DJECT MEASUREMENTS ²							
	. RAPID EXIT – AVERAGE DAYS FROM PRO	IECT						
10	FNTRY TO RESIDENTIAL MOVE-IN	JLCI						

¹ Measured within the calendar year

² Measured within the calendar year

NON-DV PROJECTS	15 days or	16-30	31-60	61-90	91+days
10a PSH and RRH	less	days	days	days	0 points
	6 points	4 points	2 points	1 point	
PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS	30 days or	31-60	61-90	91-120	121+
10b RRH	less	days	days	days	days
	6 points	4 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
11. HOUSING STABILITY					
NON-DV PROJECTS					
11a.i. HOUSEHOLDS	90 - 100%	85 - 89%	75 - 84%	50 - 74%	0 - 50%
PSH - % who stayed in PSH or exited to PH RRH - % who exited to PH	6 points	4 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
11a.ii % of leavers who moved to their own	20 - 100%	10 - 19%	Below		
housing unit at exit? (permanent tenure)	4 points	2 points	10%		
			0 points		
PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS					
11b.i HOUSEHOLDS	80 - 100%	70 - 79%	60 - 69%	50 - 59%	0 - 49%
PSH - % who stayed in PSH or exited to PH?	6 points	5 points	3 points	1 point	0 points
RRH - % who exited to PH?					
11b.ii	90 - 100%	80 - 89%	0 - 79%		
Safety of Survivors improved?	4 points	2 points	0 points		
12. % of participants whose income from	20 - 100%	15 - 19%	10 - 14%	0 – 9%	
employment increased? ³ (all projects)	6 points	3 points	1 point	0 points	
13. % of participants whose income from sources	54 - 100%	45 - 53%	25 - 44%	0 – 24%	
other than employment increased? ⁴ (all	6 points	4 points	1 point	0 points	
projects)					
14. % of participants who obtained non-cash	56 - 100%	50 - 55%	25 - 49%	0 – 24%	
mainstream benefits? ⁵	6 points	3 points	1 point	0 points	
15. % of all leavers who exited to shelter, streets, or	0 – 10%	10-			
unknown	2 points	100%			
		0 points			
16. SEVERITY OF NEEDS AND VULNERABILITY					
16a. % of households who had zero income at	20 - 100%	10- 19%	0- 10%		
entry	2 points	1 point	0 points		
16b. % of people entering with a disabling	20 - 100%	10- 19%	0- 10%		
condition	2 points	1 point	0 points		

FINANCIAL/HMIS AND POLICY

17. What percentage of the grant was	95 – 100%	90 – 94%	85 – 89%	75 – 84%	0-75%
expended? (last operating year)	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points
18. Amount of project funds (HUD and	>\$10K	\$10-\$25K	\$26 – 50K	Over 50K	
match) spent annually per household	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points	
served					

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Entry to exit or end of calendar year for individuals in project for over a year

⁴ Entry to exit or end of calendar year for individuals in project for over a year

 $^{^{\}rm 5}$ Entry to exit or end of calendar year for individuals in project for over a year

19. % of HMIS records with 'null or missing	0 - 9%	10 - 15%	16 - 100%		
values' for universal elements?	5 points	2 points	0 points		
20. Timeliness of data entry?	80 - 100%	0 - 80%			
	3 points	0 points			
21. APR submittals to BHS?	30 days or	Over 30			
	less	days			
	3 points	0 points			
22. Attendance of GNCOC meetings in past	6 meetings	5 meetings	4 meetings	3 or less	
year?				meetings	
	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	

EQUITY FACTORS

23. Do your agency management and leadership staff include people from typically	Yes	No
under-represented populations (black, indigenous, people of color (BIPOC 1),	1 point	0 Points
people who identify as LGBTQIA+ ² , etc.)?		
24. Does your Board of Directors (or equivalent decision making entity) includes	Yes	No
people from typically under-represented populations (black, indigenous, people of	1 point	0 Points
color- (BIPOC ¹), people who identify as LGBTQIA+ ² , etc.)?		
25. Does your Board of Directors (or equivalent decision making entity) include	Yes	No
representation from more than one person with lived experience (PLE ³)?	1 point	0 Points
	Yes	No
26. Has your agency reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens	1 point	0 Points
and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant	•	
culture?		
27. Subrecipient Agency will commit to working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule	Yes	No
for reviewing HMIS/ Comparable database data with disaggregation by race,	1 point	0 Points
ethnicity, gender identity, and/ or age within the next year.		
28. Does your agency have process for receiving and incorporating feedback from	Yes	No
persons with lived experience (PLE ³)?	1 point	0 points
29. Has your agency reviewed participant outcomes in your current projects with an	Yes	No
equity lens? (including disaggreagation by race, ethnicity, gender identify, and/or	1 Point	0 points
age)		
30. Has your agency identified programmatic changes needed to make participant	Yes	No
outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes?	1 Point	0 points
31. Project clearly identifies any barriers to participation (e.g., Lack of outreach) faced	Up to 3	
by persons of different races, ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in	points	
the local homelessness populations, or has taken or will take steps to eliminate		
the identified barriers?		

¹ BIPOC denotes individuals who identify as Black, Brown, Indigenous, Native American, Asian, Pacific Islander, Latino/a/x, or other persons of color.

² LGBTQ+ means includes, but is not limited to: gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, non-binary, genderfluid, intersex, asexual, or other queer-identifying or gender non-conforming individuals.

³ A PLE is an individual who has experienced homelessness within the last seven (7) years or is currently a program participant.

HOUSING FIRST

	ACH a copy of your project's policies, rules and any other standard participation agreements to you
proje	ect application
_	 Will your project continue to serve a high percentage of people with significant barriers to stability? Having little or no income (1 point) Active or history of substance abuse (1 point) Having a criminal record with the exception of state-mandated restrictions (1 point) Active or history of mental illness (1 point)
33. V	Will your project ensure participants are not terminated for the following reasons? Failure to participate in supportive services (1 point) Failure to make progress on a service plan (1 point) Loss of income or failure to improve income (1 point) Being a victim of domestic violence (1 point) Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the region (1 point)